LAW OFFICES

BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC

9595 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 900 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212

> 877.534.2590 FAX 310.247.0160 www.brodsky-smith.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 1040 KINGS HIGHWAY NORTH, STE 601 CHERRY HILL, NJ 08034 856.795.7250 NEW YORK OFFICE 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 516.741.4977 PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE TWO BALA PLAZA, STE 510 BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004 610.667.6200

June 16, 2016

Morgan Gallacher, Inc.	Scott Von Luft
Attn: Scott Von Luft	Agent for Service of Process
8707 Millergrove Dr	Morgan Gallacher, Inc.
Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670	8707 Millergrove Dr
	Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670
Morgan Gallacher, Inc.	
Attn: Ida T. Mariam	
8707 Millergrove Dr	
Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670	
Gina McCarthy, Administrator	Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Regional Water Quality Control Board
Mail Code: 1101A	Los Angeles Region
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20460	Los Angeles, CA 90013
Jared Blumenfeld, Regional Administrator	Thomas Howard, Executive Director
U.S. EPA, Region 9	State Water Resources Control Board
75 Hawthorne Street	1001 I Street
San Francisco, CA 94105	Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit under the Clean Water Act

To Whom It May Concern:

Brodsky & Smith, LLC ("Brodsky Smith") represents Manuel Rivera ("Rivera"), a citizen of the State of California. This letter is to give notice that Brodsky Smith, on Rivera's behalf, intends to file a civil action against Morgan Gallacher, Inc. ("Morgan Gallacher") for violations of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq. ("Clean Water Act" or "CWA") at Morgan Gallacher's facility located at 8707 Millergrove, Dr, Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 (the "Facility").

Rivera is a citizen of the State of California who is concerned with the environmental health of the San Gabriel River Watershed, and uses and enjoys the waters of the San Gabriel River, its inflows, outflows, and other waters of the San Gabriel River Watershed. Rivera use and enjoyment of these waters are negatively affected by the pollution caused by Morgan Gallacher's operations. Additionally, Rivera acts in the interest of the general public to prevent pollution in these waterways, for the benefit of their ecosystems, and for the benefits of all individuals and communities who use these waterways for various recreational, educational, and spiritual purposes.

This letter addresses Morgan Gallacher's unlawful discharge of pollutants from the Facility via an indirect method into the San Gabriel River and the San Gabriel River Watershed. Specifically, investigation of the Facility has uncovered significant, ongoing, and continuous violations of the CWA and

the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") General Permit No CAS000001 [State Water Resources Control Board] Water Quality Orders No. 2014-0057-DWQ (the "Industrial Stormwater Permit") and 92-12-DWQ (as amended by Order No. 97-03-DWQ) (the "Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit").

CWA section 505(b) requires that sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of a civil action under CWA section 505(a), a citizen must give notice of his or her intent to file suit. 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b). Notice must be given to the alleged violator, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and the State in which the violations occur. As required by section 505(b), this Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit provides notice to Morgan Gallacher of the violations that have occurred and which continue to occur at the Facility. After the expiration of sixty (60) days from the date of this Notice of Violation and the Intent to File Suit, Rivera intends to file suit in federal court against Morgan Gallacher under CWA section 505(a) for the violations described more fully below.

During the 60-day notice period, Rivera is willing to discuss effective remedies for the violations noticed in this letter. We suggest that Morgan Gallacher contact Rivera's attorneys at Brodsky & Smith within the next twenty (20) days so that these discussions may be completed by the conclusion of the 60-day notice period. Please note that we do not intend to delay the filing of a complaint in federal court, and service of the complaint shortly thereafter, even if discussions are continuing when the notice period ends.

I. THE LOCATION OF THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

A. The Facility

Morgan Gallacher's Facility is located at 8707 Millergrove Dr., Santa Fe Springs, California and does business with the facility name of "Morgan Gallacher Inc. dba Custom Chemical Formulators, Inc." At the Facility, Morgan Gallacher operates as a manufacturing company that specializes in liquid and powder chemical blending and chemical product development. The standard industrial codes that apply to the facility are 2841 - Soap and other Detergents and 2842 - Specialty Cleaning, Polishing and Sanitations Preparations. Morgan Gallacher conducts the following activities at the facility: (i) chemical manufacturing and mixing, (ii) product storage, (iii) product packaging. Other activities carried out in the regular course of business at the Facility include: (i) vehicle and equipment maintenance, (ii) storage of maintenance and cleaning materials; (iii) storage of waste oil and lubricants, and (iv) storage of hazardous material. Repair and maintenance activities carried out at the facility include, but are not limited to, electrical, plumbing, roofing, asphalt, concrete, and utilities repairs as well as janitorial duties. Possible pollutants from the Facility include total suspended solids ("TSS"), waste oils, lubricants, fuel, trash, debris, hazardous materials, chemical oxygen demand ("COD"), oil and grease, pH, nitrate plus nitrite nitrogen, heavy metals, such as zinc, and other pollutants. Stormwater from the Facility discharges, via the local storm sewer system and/or surface runoff indirectly into the San Gabriel River and San Gabriel River Watershed and ultimately the Pacific Ocean.

B. The Affected Water

The San Gabriel River and the San Gabriel River watershed are waters of the United States. The CWA requires that water bodies such as the San Gabriel River and the San Gabriel River Watershed meet water quality objectives that protect specific "beneficial uses." The beneficial uses of the San Gabriel River and the San Gabriel River Watershed include commercial and sport fishing, estuarine habitat, fish migration, navigation, preservation of rare and endangered species, water contact and non-contact recreation, shellfish harvesting, fish spawning, and wildlife habitat. Contaminated stormwater from the Facility adversely affects the water quality of San Gabriel River and the overall San Gabriel River

¹ On April 1, 2014, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted an updated NPDES General Permit for Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity, Water Quality Order No. 2014-57-DWQ, which has taken force or effect on its effective date of July 1, 2015. As of the effective date, Water Quality Order No. 2014-57-DWQ has superseded and rescinded the prior Industrial Stormwater Permit except for purposes of enforcement actions brought pursuant to the prior permit.

Watershed, and threatens the beneficial uses and ecosystems of the San Gabriel River and the San Gabriel River Watershed, which includes habitats for threatened and endangered species.

II. THE FACILITY'S VIOLATIONS OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT

It is unlawful to discharge pollutants to waters of the United States, such as the San Gabriel River and the San Gabriel River Watershed, without an NPDES permit or in violation of the terms and conditions of an NPDES permit. CWA § 301(a), 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a); see also CWA § 402(p), 33 U.S.C. § 1342(p) (requiring NPDES permit issuance for the discharge of stormwater associated with industrial activities). The Industrial Stormwater Permit authorizes certain discharges of stormwater, conditioned on compliance with its terms.

Morgan Gallacher has submitted a Notice of Intent ("NOI") to be authorized to discharge stormwater from the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit since at least 1996. However, information available to Rivera indicates that stormwater discharges form the Facility have violated several terms of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA. Apart from discharges that comply with the Industrial Stormwater Permit, the Facility lacks NPDES permit authorization for any other discharges of pollutants into waters of the United States.

A. Discharges in Excess of BAT/BCT Levels

The Effluent Limitations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit prohibit the discharge of pollutants from the facility in concentrations above the level commensurate with the application of best available technology economically achievable ("BAT") for toxic pollutants² and best conventional pollutant control technology ("BCT") for conventional pollutants.³ Industrial Stormwater Permit § I(D)(32), II(D)(2); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part B(3). The EPA has published Benchmark values set at the maximum pollutant concentration present if an industrial facility is employing BAT and BCT, as listed in Attachment 1 to this letter.⁴

Additionally, the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit notes that effluent limitation guidelines for several named industrial categories have been established and codified by the Federal Government. See Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit pp. VIII. The Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit mandates that for facilities that fall within such industrial categories, compliance with the listed BAT and BCT for the specified pollutants listed therein must be met in order to be in compliance with the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit. Id. Morgan Gallacher falls within these named industrial categories and it must have complied with the effluent limitations found therein in order to have been in compliance with the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit during its effective period. Based on Morgan Gallacher's self-reporting data and/or lack thereof, Morgan Gallacher has not met this requirement and was in violation of the Previous Stormwater Permit over a period of at least five (5) years.

Morgan Gallacher's self-reporting of industrial stormwater discharges show a pattern of exceedances of Benchmark values in every instance of self-reporting. See Attachment 2. This pattern of exceedances of benchmark values and lack of self-reporting indicate that Morgan Gallacher has failed and is failing to employ measures that constitute BAT and BCT in violation of the requirements of the

² BAT is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 437.1 *et seq*. Toxic pollutants are listed at 40 C.F.R. § 401.15 and include copper, lead, and zinc, among others.

³ BCT is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 437.1 *et seq*. Conventional pollutants are listed at 40 C.F.R. § 401.16 and include BOD, TSS, oil and grease, pH, and fecal coliform.

⁴ The Benchmark values are part of the EPA's Multi-Sector General Permit ("MSGP") and can be found at: http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/msgp2008_finalpermit.pdf. See 73 Fed. Reg. 56, 572 (Sept. 29, 2008) (Final National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Stormwater Discharges From Industrial Activities).

Industrial Stormwater Permit and Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit. Rivera alleges and notifies Morgan Gallacher that its stormwater discharges from the Facility have consistently contained and continue to contain levels of pollutants that exceed Benchmark Values for Nitrate plus Nitrite Nitrogen and Zinc.

Morgan Gallacher's ongoing discharges of stormwater containing levels of pollutants above EPA Benchmark values and BAT and BCT based levels of control also demonstrate that Morgan Gallacher has not developed and implemented sufficient Best Management Practices ("BMPs") at the Facility. Proper BMPs could include, but are not limited to, moving certain pollution-generating activities under cover or indoors capturing and effectively filtering or otherwise treating all stormwater prior to discharge, frequent sweeping to reduce build-up of pollutants on-site, installing filters on downspouts and storm drains, and other similar measures.

Morgan Gallacher's failure to develop and/or implement adequate pollution controls to meet BAT and BCT and the Facility violates and will continue to violate the CWA and the Industrial Stormwater Permit each and every day Morgan Gallacher discharges stormwater without meeting BAT/BCT. Rivera alleges that Morgan Gallacher has discharged stormwater containing excessive levels of pollutants from the Facility to the San Gabriel River and the San Gabriel River Watershed during at least every significant local rain event over 0.2 inches in the last five (5) years.⁵ Attachment 3 compiles all dates in the last five (5) years when a significant rain event occurred. Morgan Gallacher is subject to civil penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA within the past five (5) years.

B. Discharges Impairing Receiving Waters

The Industrial Stormwater Permit's Discharge Prohibitions disallow stormwater discharges that cause or threaten to cause pollution, contamination, or nuisance. *See* Industrial Stormwater Permit § III; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part A(2). The Industrial Stormwater Permit also prohibits stormwater discharges to surface or groundwater that adversely impact human health or the environment. *See* Industrial Stormwater Permit § VI(b)-(c); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part C(1). Receiving Water Limitations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit prohibit stormwater discharges that cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable Water Quality Standards ("WQS") contained in a Statewide Water Quality Control Plan or the applicable Regional Water Board's Basin Plan. *See* Industrial Stormwater Permit § VI(a); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit at Order Part C(2). Applicable WQS are set forth in the California Toxic Rule ("CTR")⁶ and Chapter 3 of the Los Angeles Region (Region 4) Water Quality Control Plan (the "Basin Plan").⁷ *See* Attachment 1. Exceedances of WQS are violations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, the CTR, and the Basin Plan.

The Basin Plan establishes WQS for all Inland Surface Waters, including the San Gabriel River Watershed, which contain, but are not limited, to the following:

- Waters shall not contain suspended or settleable material in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial users.
- Waters shall be free of changes in turbidity that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial
 uses. Increases in natural turbidity attributable to controllable water quality factors shall not
 exceed 20% where natural turbidity is between 0 and 50 nephelometric turbidity units
 ("NTU"), and shall not exceed 10% where the natural turbidity is greater than 50 NTU.

⁵ Significant local rain events are reflected in the rain gauge data available at: http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/search.

⁶ The CTR is set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 131.38 and is explained in the Federal Register preamble accompanying the CTR promulgation set forth at 65 Fed. Reg. 31, 682 (May 18, 2000).

⁷ The Basin Plan is published by the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board at: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/basin_plan documentation.shtml.

- All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in, human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
- Surface waters shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in amounts that adversely affect any designated beneficial use.

Rivera alleges that Morgan Gallacher's stormwater discharges have caused or contributed to exceedances of Receiving Water Limitations in the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the WQS set forth in the Basin Plan and CTR. These allegations are based on Morgan Gallacher's self-reported data submitted to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board. These sampling results indicate that Morgan Gallacher's discharges are causing or threatening to cause pollution, contamination, and/or nuisance; adversely impacting human health or the environment; and violating applicable WQS. For example, Morgan Gallacher's sampling results indicate exceedances of WQS for Nitrate plus Nitrite Nitrogen and Zinc. See Attachment 2.

Rivera alleges that each day that Morgan Gallacher has discharged stormwater from the Facility, Morgan Gallacher's stormwater has contained levels of pollutants that exceeded one or more of the Receiving Water Limitations and/or applicable WQS in the San Gabriel River and the San Gabriel River Watershed. Rivera alleges that Morgan Gallacher has discharged stormwater exceeding Receiving Water Limitations and/or WQS from the Facility to the San Gabriel River and the San Gabriel River Watershed during at least every significant local rain event over 0.2 inches in the last five (5) years. *See* Attachment 3. Each discharge from the Facility that violates a Receiving Water Limitation or has caused or contributed, or caused or contributes, to an exceedance of an applicable WQS constitutes a separate violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA. Morgan Gallacher is subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA within the past five (5) years.

C. Failure to Develop and Implement an Adequate Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires dischargers to develop and implement an adequate Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP"). See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(B); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § A(1)(a). The Industrial Stormwater Permit also requires dischargers to make all necessary revisions to existing SWPPPs promptly. See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(B); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit at Order Part E(2).

The SWPPP must include, among other requirements, the following: a site map, a list of significant materials handled and stored at the site, a description and assessment of all Morgan Gallacher pollutant sources, a description of the BMPs that will reduce or prevent pollutants in stormwater discharges, specification of BMPs designed to reduce pollutant discharge to BAT and BCT levels, a comprehensive site compliance evaluation completed each reporting year, and revisions to the SWPPP within 90 days after a facility manager determines that the SWPPP is in violation of any requirements of the Industrial Stormwater Permit. See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(A); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit Section § A.

Based on information available to Rivera, Morgan Gallacher has failed to prepare and/or implement an adequate SWPPP and/or failed to revise the SWPPP to satisfy each of the requirements of § X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and/or § A Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit. For Example, Morgan Gallacher's SWPPP does not include and/or Morgan Gallacher has not implemented adequate BMPs designed to reduce pollutant levels in discharges to BAT and BCT levels in accordance with Section A(8) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, as evidenced by the data in Attachment 2.

Accordingly, Morgan Gallacher has violated the CWA each and every day that it has failed to develop and/or implement an adequate SWPPP meeting all of the requirements of X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and/or A Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, and Morgan Gallacher will continue to be in violation every day until it develops and implements an adequate SWPPP. Morgan Gallacher is

subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA occurring within the past five (5) years.

D. Failure to Develop and Implement an Adequate Monitoring and Reporting Program and to Perform Annual Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluations

The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires facility operators to develop and implement a Monitoring and Reporting Program ("MRP"). See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B(1) and Order Part E(3). The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires that MRP ensure that each the facility's stormwater discharges comply with the Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, and Receiving Water Limitations specified in the Industrial Stormwater Permit. Id. Facility operators must ensure that their MRP practices reduce or prevent pollutants in stormwater and authorized non-stormwater discharges as well as evaluate and revise their practices to meet changing conditions at the facility. Id. This may include revising the SWPPP as required by § X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and/or §A Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit.

The MRP must measure the effectiveness of BMPs used to prevent or reduce pollutants in stormwater and authorized non-stormwater discharges, and facility operators must revise the MRP whenever appropriate. See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § at Section B. The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires facility operators to visually observe and collect samples of stormwater discharges from all drainage areas. Id. Facility operators are also required to provide an explanation of monitoring methods describing how the facility's monitoring program will satisfy these objectives. Id.

Morgan Gallacher has been operating the Facility with an inadequately developed and/or inadequately implemented MRP, in violation of the substantive and procedural requirements set forth in Section B of the Industrial Stormwater permit. For example, the data in Attachment 2 indicates that Morgan Gallacher's monitoring program has not ensured that stormwater dischargers are in compliance with the Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, and Receiving Water Limitations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit as required by the Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI and/or the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B. The monitoring has not resulted in practices at the Facility that adequately reduce or prevent pollutants in stormwater as required by Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI and/or the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B. Similarly, the data in Attachment 2 indicates that Morgan Gallacher's monitoring program has not effectively identified or responded to compliance problems at the Facility or resulted in effective revision of the BMPs in use or the Facility's SWPPP to address such ongoing problems as required by Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI and/or the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B.

As a part of the MRP, the Industrial Stormwater Permit specifies that Facility operators shall collect stormwater samples during "the first hour of discharge from (1) the first storm event of the wet season, and (2) at least one other storm event in the wet season." See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI(C) and/or the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B(5)(a). Furthermore, should facility operators fail to collect samples from the first storm event of the wet season, they are still required to collect samples from two other storm events during the wet season, and explain in the annual report why the first storm event was not sampled. Id. Morgan Gallacher, in clear violation of the terms of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, has failed to report a proper second discharge for the 2014-2015 reporting period, despite the fact that there were several days during that time period with precipitation two-tenths of an inch or greater. See Attachments 2, 3. Furthermore, California Steel & Tube has failed to adequately explain why such sampling was not included.

As a result of Morgan Gallacher's failure to adequately develop and/or implement an adequate MRP at the Facility, Morgan Gallacher has been in daily and continuous violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA each and every day for the past five (5) years. These violations are ongoing. Morgan Gallacher will continue to be in violation of the monitoring and reporting requirement each day that Morgan Gallacher fails to adequately develop and/or implement an effective MRP at the Facility. Morgan Gallacher is subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA occurring for the last five (5) years.

E. Unpermitted Discharges

Section 301(a) of the CWA prohibits the discharge of any pollutant into waters of the United States unless the discharge is authorized by a NPDES Permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA. See 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311(a), 1342. Morgan Gallacher sought coverage for the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit, which states that any discharge from an industrial facility not in compliance with the Industrial Stormwater Permit "must be either eliminated or permitted by a separate NPDES permit." Industrial Stormwater Permit, § III; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part A(1). Because Morgan Gallacher has not obtained coverage under a separate NPDES permit and has failed to eliminate discharges not permitted by the Industrial Stormwater Permit, each and every discharge from the Facility described herein not in compliance with the Industrial Stormwater Permit has constituted and will continue to constitute a discharge without CWA Permit coverage in violation of section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a)

IV. PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE VIOLATIONS

Morgan Gallacher, Inc. is the person responsible of the violations at the Facility described above.

IV. NAME AND ADDRESS OF NOTICING PARTY

Manuel Rivera 13466 Dunton Dr. Santa Fe Springs, CA 90605 424-205-9998

V. COUNSEL

Evan J. Smith, Esquire esmith@brodsky-smith.com
Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire rcardona@brodsky-smith.com
Brodsky & Smith, LLC
9595 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900
Beverly Hills, CA 90212
T: (877) 534-2590
F: (310) 247-0160

VI. REMEDIES

Rivera intends, at the close of the 60-day notice period or thereafter, to file a citizen suit under CWA section 505(a) against Morgan Gallacher for the above-referenced violations. Rivera will seek declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent further CWA violations pursuant to CWA sections 505(a) and (d), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a) and (d), and such other relief as permitted by law. In addition, Rivera will seek civil penalties pursuant to CWA section 309(d), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d), and 40 C.F.R. § 19.4, against Morgan Gallacher in this action. The CWA imposes civil penalty liability of up to \$37,500 per day per violation for violations occurring after January 12, 2009. 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d); 40 C.F.R. § 19.4. Rivera will seek to recover attorneys' fees, experts' fees, and costs in accordance with CWA section 505(d), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d).

As noted above, Rivera and his Counsel are willing to meet with you during the 60-day notice period to discuss effective remedies for the violations noted in this letter. Please contact me to initiate these discussions.

Sincerely,

Evan J. Smith, Esquire esmith@brodsky-smith.com

Ryan P. Cardona, Esq.

rcardona@brodsky-smith.com

Brodsky & Smith, LLC

9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900

Beverly Hills, CA T: (877) 534-2590

F: (310) 247-0160

ATTACHMENT 1: EPA BENCHMARKS AND WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR DISCHARGES TO FRESHWATER

A. EPA Benchmarks, 2008 Multi-Sector General Permit ("MSGP")

Parameter	Units	Benchmark Value	Source
Nitrate plus Nitrite Nitrogen	Mg/L	0.68	2008 MSGP
Total Zinc	Mg/L	0.04-0.26*	2008 MSGP

^{*}Dependent on Freshwater Hardness Range

B. Water Quality Standards – Discharge Limitations and Monitoring Requirements (40 CFR Part 131.38 (California Toxics Rule or CTR), May 18, 2000)

Parameter	Units	Water Qualit	Source	
		4- Day Average	1-Hr Average	
Lead	Mg/L	0.0081	0.21	40 CFR Part 131.38
Zinc	Mg/L	0.12	0.12	40 CFR Part 131.38

ATTACHMENT 2: TABLE OF EXCEEDENCES FOR MORGAN GALLACHER, INC.

The following table contains each stormwater sampling result which exceeds EPA Benchmarks and/or causes or contributes to an exceedance of CFR and/or Basin Plan Water Quality Standards. All EPA Benchmarks and CFR and/or Basin Plan Water Quality Standards are listed in Attachment 1. All stormwater samples were reported by the Facility during the past five (5) years.

Reporting Period	Sample Date	Parameter	Result	Unit
		Nitrate plus		
2014-2015	05/08/2015	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		Mg/L
2014-2015	05/08/2015	Zinc, Total	1.11	Mg/L
		Nitrate plus		
2014-2015	12/01/2013	Nitrite Nitrogen	1.072	Mg/L
2014-2015	12/01/2013	Zinc, Total	0.557	Mg/L
		Nitrate plus		
2013-2014	02/28/2014	Nitrite Nitrogen	1.29	Mg/L
2013-2014	02/28/2014	Zinc, Total	0.637	Mg/L
		Nitrate plus		
2013-2014	11/21/2013	Nitrite Nitrogen	2.37	Mg/L
2013-2014	11/21/2013	Zinc, Total	1.54	Mg/L
		Nitrate plus		
2012-2013	1/24/2013	Nitrite Nitrogen	1.20	Mg/L
2012-2013	1/24/2013	Zinc, Total	0.305	Mg/L
2011-2012	1/23/2012	Zinc, Total	0.375	Mg/L
		Nitrate plus		
2011-2012	10/05/2011	Nitrite Nitrogen	1.01	Mg/L
2011-2012	10/05/2011	Zinc, Total	0.476	Mg/L

ATTACHMENT 3: ALLEGED DATES OF EXCEEDANCES BY MORGAN GALLACHER, INC. January 1, 2011 – April 30, 2016

Days with precipitation two-tenths of an inch or greater, as reported by NOAA's National Climatic Data Center, Station: Whittier 2.9 WNW, CA US, GHCND:US1CALA0010, when a stormwater discharge from the Facility is likely to have occurred. http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/search

2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1/3	1/21	1/24	2/3	1/11	1/5
1/31	1/24	1/25	2/8	2/23	1/7
2/16	2/16	1/26	2/27	3/2	2/1
2/19	3/18	2/9	2/28	4/8	2/18
2/20	3/26	2/20	3/1	5/7	3/6
2/26	4/11	3/8	3/2	5/15	3/8
3/21	4/14	5/5	4/25	7/23	3/12
3/22	4/26	11/30	11/1	9/15	5/6
3/24	10/12		12/1	12/20	5/7
3/25	11/30		12/3	12/22	
5/18	12/1		12/4		
10/5	12/3		12/13	***	
10/6	12/24		12/17		
11/4	12/26			-	
11/6	12/30				
11/21					
12/13					

					······································